Parihaka Reconciliation Process
Reporting Back on

Parihaka Community Feedback Decision

Toroanui - 26 February 2017
CONDUCT AND BEHAVIOUR

- No aggressive behaviour tolerated
- No defamatory accusations, ‘kanohi ki te kanohi' first
- No pahake abuse
- Stick to the issue don’t attack the person
- Respect – Aroha – Manaaki
- 1 warning > noho tūkou > minutes not taken > hui closed
- Papakāinga Trust is developing a Code of Conduct to keep our people and kaupapa safe
May 2014

Taranaki Iwi Claims Team decides not to initial their Deed of Settlement until a process for Parihaka was confirmed by the Crown.
September 2014

Parihaka Masterplan for Development and Infrastructure is approved
January 2015

Kawe Tūtaki is formed

Their role is to:

“Submit a report to the Attorney-General and the Minister for Maori Development as a basis for Government consideration of potential support for the Parihaka community”
July 2015
Kawe Tūtaki Report is presented to Parihaka
July 2015

‘Parihaka Whakamua, Parihaka Pūmou’
The Future-proofing Parihaka Report is presented
September 2015

Parihaka Papakāinga Trust begin to assist with reports and assessments
May 2016
Compact of Trust Agreement is signed at Parihaka
Parihaka Papakāinga Trust receives the letter from Finlayson detailing the Crown’s offer.
27 November 2016

Parihaka Papakāinga Trust presents the letter of the Crown’s offer to Parihaka
Parihaka Papakāinga Trust launches an engagement process with a series of nine hui.
Today

17 February 2017

The feedback period ends and analysis of the survey are collated
26 February 2017

Report back on feedback given for the Crown’s proposal for a Reconciliation Agreement

- 166 respondents answered this question.
- Of these 88.5% (146) were supportive and 59.8% (99) were fully supportive.
- 12.12% (20) respondents were not supportive and 4.2% (7) fully disagreed that the apology would add value to the Reconciliation process.

Key Themes from Whakaaro/Comments (107 responses)
FEEDBACK RESULTS

Process monitored by
Te Puni Kōkiri
Whanganui Office
PARTICIPATION IN FEEDBACK SURVEY

Number of forms completed: 166
Valid email given: 139
Consent to future research: 15
Whānau: 28

Identified Whānau: 56

Whakapapa: 21

All of the above: 2

Tupuna: 50

Hapū: 37

Iwi: 27

Marae: 15

Parihaka Papakāinga: 14

Born/Raised: 2

Follower: 14

Marriage: 4

Puapua: 1

Shareholder: 4

Toroanui: 19

Paraahuka: 11

Takitūtū: 13

157 people identified their connection to Parihaka. Most wrote more than one.

Five people identified they were followers of Tohu and Te Whiti only.
Do you think an Apology Statement by the Crown would add value to the Reconciliation Process?

1.12% - 16 people
88.5% - 146 people

10 - fully support
9 - strongly support
8 - support
7 - somewhat support
6 - slightly support
5 - slightly disagree
4 - somewhat disagree
3 - disagree
2 - strongly disagree
1 - fully oppose

166 people answered this question
“The apology must be genuine and meaningful and show that there is recognition and understanding of the wrongdoing.”

“What follows is more important.”

“An apology will help with healing the hurt and pain held for what our tūpuna endured.”

“It should be delivered at Te Waipounamu. It could be a letter signed by the Queen, whānau to whānau.”
Do you think a Forgiveness Statement by Parihaka would add value to the Reconciliation Process?

10 - fully support  
9 - strongly support  
8 - support  
7 - somewhat support  
6 - slightly support  
5 - slightly disagree  
4 - somewhat disagree  
3 - disagree  
2 - strongly disagree  
1 - fully oppose

163 people answered this question
“Time to forgive but not forget. It’s the key to moving forward”

“Forgetfulness is honourable and a necessity towards healing. It will help to lay down the burden of the raru”

“Forgiveness is at a point in the future and will naturally emerge after people have absorbed the apology and Parihaka has the same quality of life as its Treaty partners”

“There should be no forgiveness for the injustices towards Parihaka”

“Tohu and Te Whiti would never forgive”
Would a Legacy Statement add value to an understanding of Parihaka’s history and our story?

10 - fully support
9 - strongly support
8 - support
7 - somewhat support
6 - slightly support
5 - slightly disagree
4 - somewhat disagree
3 - disagree
2 - strongly disagree
1 - fully oppose

4.2% 96.4%
7 159

166 people answered this question
“The greatest legacy left to any race in the world was the teachings of Tohu and Te Whiti. Everyone will know how valuable these are.”

“The concept of the legacy statement is too complex. It should focus on future aspirations and hopes. The apology will cover the wrongdoing.”

“The legacy statement must not be soiled by OTS negotiations and must not be sanitised.”

“Time to forgive but not forget. It’s the key to moving forward.”

“It must whakamana us as Māori.”
3. Do you support securing approximately 180 acres for the future development needs of Parihaka?

10 - fully support
9 - strongly support
8 - support
7 - somewhat support
6 - slightly support
5 - slightly disagree
4 - somewhat disagree
3 - disagree
2 - strongly disagree
1 - fully oppose

164 people answered this question
The Crown Support Package includes cultural development initiatives for Parihaka. Please rank your priorities.
165 people answered this question

5 - high priority
4 - medium to high priority
3 - medium priority
2 - low to medium priority
1 - low priority

5% 8
87% 143

Intellectual Property

5% 8
87% 143
Building Assessment/Restoration

5 - high priority
4 - medium to high priority
3 - medium priority
2 - low to medium priority
1 - low priority

165 people answered this question
Multi-purpose Centre

5 - high priority
4 - medium to high priority
3 - medium priority
2 - low to medium priority
1 - low priority

162 people answered this question
163 people answered this question

Rā o Parihaka

5 - high priority
4 - medium to high priority
3 - medium priority
2 - low to medium priority
1 - low priority

13% 21
87% 141

1 6
2 15
3 11
4 34
5 97
5 - high priority
4 - medium to high priority
3 - medium priority
2 - low to medium priority
1 - low priority

164 people answered this question
Preservation of Taonga

5 - high priority
4 - medium to high priority
3 - medium priority
2 - low to medium priority
1 - low priority

100 people answered this question
Healing and Reconciliation

162 people answered this question

- 8 people rated it at 1 - low priority
- 11 people rated it at 2 - low to medium priority
- 19 people rated it at 3 - medium priority
- 25 people rated it at 4 - medium to high priority
- 99 people rated it at 5 - high priority
Archaeological Work

163 people answered this question
Heritage Database

5 - high priority
4 - medium to high priority
3 - medium priority
2 - low to medium priority
1 - low priority

165 people answered this question
162 people answered this question
There are a number of infrastructure projects that need to be undertaken at Parihaka. Please rank your priorities.
163 people answered this question

5 - high priority
4 - medium to high priority
3 - medium priority
2 - low to medium priority
1 - low priority

Housing

- 11% (18 people) with high priority
- 72% (117 people) with medium to high priority
- 28 people with medium priority
- 35 people with low to medium priority
- 82 people with low priority
5 - high priority
4 - medium to high priority
3 - medium priority
2 - low to medium priority
1 - low priority

Waste Water

164 people answered this question
5 - high priority
4 - medium to high priority
3 - medium priority
2 - low to medium priority
1 - low priority

163 people answered this question

Water Supply

4% 93%
6 151

5 - 130
Road Upgrades

5 - high priority
4 - medium to high priority
3 - medium priority
2 - low to medium priority
1 - low priority

162 people answered this question
161 people answered this question

5 - high priority
4 - medium to high priority
3 - medium priority
2 - low to medium priority
1 - low priority

Electricity Supply

4%  88%
7    142

109 people selected high priority

4% of people answered electricity supply as high priority.
Internet Services

5 - high priority
4 - medium to high priority
3 - medium priority
2 - low to medium priority
1 - low priority

163 people answered this question
Environmental Sustainability

5 - high priority
4 - medium to high priority
3 - medium priority
2 - low to medium priority
1 - low priority

163 people answered this question

2

10

15

21

115

7%
12

83%
136
6. Should Parihaka enter into a Relationship Agreement with Crown agencies and ongoing development projects?

10 - fully support
9 - strongly support
8 - support
7 - somewhat support
6 - slightly support
5 - slightly disagree
4 - somewhat disagree
3 - disagree
2 - strongly disagree
1 - fully oppose

164 people answered this question

- 15.7% (26 people) - support
- 83.6% (138 people) - strongly support
7. Is a Relationship Forum with the Crown necessary?

166 people answered this question

- 13.3% (22 people) support
- 86.7% (144 people) oppose
8. Is a Parihaka Deed of Reconciliation necessary to acknowledge and secure the Crown’s commitments to Parihaka’s development?

10 - fully support
9 - strongly support
8 - support
7 - somewhat support
6 - slightly support
5 - slightly disagree
4 - somewhat disagree
3 - disagree
2 - strongly disagree
1 - fully oppose

165 people answered this question

7.2% (12) support
92.7% (153) support

100% (165) answered.
9. Is Parihaka legislation necessary to acknowledge and secure the Crown’s commitment to Parihaka?

10 - fully support
9 - strongly support
8 - support
7 - somewhat support
6 - slightly support
5 - slightly disagree
4 - somewhat disagree
3 - disagree
2 - strongly disagree
1 - fully oppose

6.1% 93.8%

162 people answered this question
11. Does Parihaka need to review its current organisational structure?

10 - fully support
9 - strongly support
8 - support
7 - somewhat support
6 - slightly support
5 - slightly disagree
4 - somewhat disagree
3 - disagree
2 - strongly disagree
1 - fully oppose

163 people answered this question
10. Is the amount of $9 million as a part of the Crown support package a sufficient contribution towards Parihaka’s development?

163 people answered this question

- 32 people (32%)
- 18 people (18%)
- 23 people (23%)
- 13 people (13%)
- 15 people (15%)
- 8 people (8%)
- 18 people (18%)
- 12 people (12%)
- 9 people (9%)
- 15 people (15%)

61.9% agree, 38% disagree.
I feel the overall Crown package is a sufficient starting point for Parihaka’s future and should be accepted.

10 - fully support
9 - strongly support
8 - support
7 - somewhat support
6 - slightly support
5 - slightly disagree
4 - somewhat disagree
3 - disagree
2 - strongly disagree
1 - fully oppose

164 people answered this question
"Like to see the amount increased but will work with what we have"

"Is it sufficient for a starting point... no its not. Starting point, does that mean we get another go?"

"The Crown will give what the Crown gives! We must be prudent with the funding package and use it wisely. Do what you say for the whole Iwi will reap the rewards and tautoko accordingly"

"I would fully support the package if the Crown's financial contribution was revisited and increased"

"I tautoko it and parihaka has to move forward not stuck in a hole"
Decision from Feedback

Based on the feedback Parihaka supports the overall Crown Package as a sufficient starting point for Parihaka’s future.

Parihaka does not support $9 million as a part of the Crown’s support package as being a sufficient contribution towards Parihaka’s development.
Next Steps?

Provide Parihaka’s feedback to the Crown for their response on the $9mill PPT presents back the Crown’s response: 1pm - 4pm, 2nd April, Te Paepae

Legacy statement on website: 1st March

Legacy Wānanga: Te Niho, 9th March, 5.30pm

Legacy Statement feedback due: 24th March